

MODULI OF WEIGHTED STABLE MAPS AND THEIR GRAVITATIONAL DESCENDANTS

VALERY ALEXEEV AND G. MICHAEL GUY

ABSTRACT. We study the intersection theory on the moduli spaces of maps of n -pointed curves $f : (C, s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow V$ which are stable with respect to the weight data $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. After describing the structure of these moduli spaces, we prove a formula describing the way descendant invariants change under a wall crossing. As a corollary, we compute the weighted descendants in terms of the usual ones, i.e. for the weight data $(1, \dots, 1)$, and vice versa.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Moduli of weighted stable maps	4
2. Chambers, walls, and simplicial complexes	10
3. Reduction and forgetful morphisms	13
4. Crossing a single wall = adding a simplex	14
5. Psi classes	18
6. Gravitational Descendants	21
7. Wall crossing formula	24
8. A -dilaton, A -string, A -divisor equations	29
References	32

INTRODUCTION

Moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)$ of stable maps $f : (C, s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow V$ from n -pointed curves to an algebraic variety have been extensively studied. In particular, they are used to define the Gromov-Witten invariants of V and quantum cohomology. In the absolute case, when V is a point, these are the Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen's moduli spaces of stable n -pointed curves.

B. Hassett [Has03] defined weighted analogs of stable curves. In this version, one attaches to every point s_i a weight $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$, and modifies the definition of a stable curve accordingly. Hassett constructed the corresponding moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,A}$ and proved a number of their nice properties: they are all Deligne-Mumford stacks, smooth if all $a_i > 0$, and their coarse moduli spaces are projective. For two weight data $A \geq B$, there is a reduction

Date: September 18, 2006; revised November 2, 2007.

morphism $\rho_{B,A} : \overline{M}_{g,A} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,B}$; it is birational, and Hassett described the exceptional divisors which it contracts.

It is natural to also define a weighted version of a stable map. In fact, the definition can be given for higher dimensions as well—see [Ale06] for a more detailed discussion on this subject (the present paper answers [Ale06, Question 7.3]). In the unweighted case, the stable maps from surfaces were defined and studied in [Ale96]. Hacking studied surface pairs $(X, (3/d + \epsilon)D)$ with weights in [Hac04], and the moduli compactifications of toric and abelian pairs [Ale02] can be interpreted as moduli spaces of pairs $(X, \Delta + \epsilon D)$, resp. $(X, \epsilon D)$ with weights.

In this paper, we first construct the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ of maps $f : (C, s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow V$ from n -pointed curves to a projective variety V (or, more generally, a flat projective scheme over the base scheme) with respect to the weight data $A = (a_i)$, $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. We give two constructions.

The advantage of the first one is that it is a very elementary reduction to the usual, unweighted case established in [BM96]. However, for fixed V, β, n , [BM96] only gives a Deligne moduli stack outside of finitely many characteristics (“bounded by the characteristic” in the language of [BM96]).

The second construction is more general, and gives an Artin moduli stack and a coarse moduli space over an arbitrary locally Noetherian scheme. This construction, which follows [MFK94, Kol90], was previously used for surfaces, and can be used in higher dimensions as well (with many significant technicalities, which we do not discuss here).

Next, we define the psi classes on $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. In the case when all $a_i > 0$, this is done in the usual way by restricting the cotangent bundle to a section. Since the section passes through the locus of the universal family where the morphism is smooth, the psi classes are invertible sheaves on the moduli stack. In the case of some $a_i = 0$, we adjust this construction slightly.

In [Has03], Hassett proved that the parameter space of the possible weight data A , which for $g \geq 2$ is simply $[0, 1]^n$, is divided into finitely many “chambers”, within which the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,A}$ do not change. For each fixed (g, n, V, β) , we define a similar chamber decomposition for the spaces $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$.

It is easy to see that one can move from one chamber to another by a sequence of “simple”, generic wall crossings. We organize this data as follows. To each weight data, or chamber, we associate a simplicial complex with vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$. (For example, the complex for the weight data (1^n) is n disjoint points, and for the weight data (0^n) it is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex.) Then crossing a simple wall corresponds to adding or removing a single simplex to or from this complex.

Next, we restrict to a case when V is a smooth projective variety defined over a field. We define gravitational descendants $\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}$ of V . We then prove a formula expressing the way each gravitational descendant changes under a simple wall crossing. The formula says that the

difference is again a gravitational descendant, but on a simpler space with fewer marked points. In particular, it also implies that the ordinary Gromov-Witten invariants coincide with their weighted analogues. As a corollary, we get formulas for $\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}$ in terms of the “unweighted” descendants, and vice versa.

Many authors have studied the change in gravitational descendants under “abrupt” moves, when going from n -pointed curves to $(n-1)$ -pointed curves, etc. The Witten’s formulas in [Wit91] relating products of κ classes and ψ classes are one of the first examples, and [AC96] contains many more. Definitions were given for the “canonical” descendants that behave well under the forgetful morphism, as the pullbacks from a space with fewer marked points. For example, Graber-Kock-Pandharipande [GKP02] introduced “modified psi classes” which can be interpreted as pullbacks of psi classes for the weight data (0^n) for $g > 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$, and this construction was extended to the remaining case in [Koc00].

In these terms, our formulas can be understood as describing the change under the “micro” moves, and filling out the entire cube $[0, 1]^n$ rather than jumping along the edges. The previously studied invariants can be understood as the invariants at the corners, i.e. points with coordinates all 1s and 0s. In particular, we prove that the Morita-Miller-Mumford’s kappa numbers are simply the weighted descendants for the weight data (0^n) , or, equivalently, (ϵ^n) .

In the last section, we study the weighted analogs of the string, dilaton, and divisor equations.

We note a related and independent work [BM06], which appeared on arXiv shortly before ours. Arend Bayer and Yuri I. Manin also construct the moduli of weighted stable maps and establish the basic properties of reduction morphisms (luckily, the proofs are fairly different). Next, they study and prove some axioms of the virtual fundamental class. Then they study the way the stability conditions in derived categories change under wall crossings.

In contrast, our focus is on the weighted gravitational descendants, and we consider the wall crossing formula in Theorem 7.2 to be the central result of this paper.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Rahul Pandharipande for offering useful references. We are grateful to the referee for many very useful comments. This research was partially supported by NSF.

The second author would also like to thank Jim Bryan who led a group at the 2005 Summer Institute in Algebraic Geometry in which he was a member. It is his notes which he distributed during this session that have served as the starting point for much of the second author’s learning about descendants.

1. MODULI OF WEIGHTED STABLE MAPS

For the definitions of individual varieties and pairs, we work over an algebraically closed field. For the definitions of families and moduli functors, we work over a Noetherian base scheme $\mathcal{B}$, and all products are fibered products over $\mathcal{B}$. V will denote a flat projective scheme over $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.1. A **weight** in this paper will be a real number $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. A **weight data** will be an ordered n -tuple $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of weights. We will call a weight data positive if each weight is positive.

We will use abbreviations: for example, we will write (1^n) for the weight data $(1, \dots, 1)$, and $(1^2, \epsilon^{n-2})$ for $(1, 1, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$.

Definition 1.2. Over an algebraically closed field, a **stable map for the weight data A** , or an **A -stable map** is a proper morphism $f : C \rightarrow V$ from a connected reduced curve C to a scheme V , together with n *ordered* points $s_1, \dots, s_n \in C$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) (on singularities) C has at most nodes; for every smooth point $P \in C$, the multiplicity

$$\text{mult}_P = \sum_{s_i=P} a_i \leq 1,$$

and for a node $P \in C$, one has $\text{mult}_P = 0$;

- (2) (numerical) the $\mathbb{R}$ -line bundle $\omega_C(\sum a_i s_i)$ is f -ample, i.e. for every irreducible component E of C collapsed by f to a point, one has

$$\deg \omega_C \left(\sum a_i s_i \right) \Big|_E = 2p_a(E) - 2 + |E \cap (C - E)| + \sum_{s_i \in E} a_i > 0.$$

A **stable curve** is a stable map to a point.

Thus, the points with $a_i = 0$ can coincide with the nodes, but points with positive weights cannot. As usual, the numerical condition is only a restriction on the collapsed components E which are $\mathbb{P}^1$, elliptic curves, or rational curves with a single node.

Definition 1.3. Let V be a flat scheme over $\mathcal{B}$. A family of stable maps over S is a morphism of schemes $f : C \rightarrow V \times_{\mathcal{B}} S$ together with sections $g_i : S \rightarrow C$ of $\pi = p_2 \circ f : C \rightarrow S$, such that

- (1) $\pi : C \rightarrow S$ is flat,
- (2) every geometric fiber $(C, s_i = g_i(S))_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow V_{\bar{s}}$ is an A -stable map.

Definition 1.4. The moduli stack $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V)$ associates to every scheme $S/\mathcal{B}$ a category whose objects are families of stable maps over S such that every curve $C_{\bar{s}}$ has arithmetic genus g ; and arrows are isomorphisms of families over S .

The moduli functor is defined by associating to S the set of such families modulo isomorphisms.

Remark 1.5. For any weight data (a_i) , one may decrease the nonzero a_i “a little” without changing the stability conditions: a family is stable for (a_i) iff it is stable for $(a_i - \epsilon_i)$. Hence, for proving statements about families of A -stable maps, one can always assume that each a_i is rational.

Stable weighted curves were defined by Hassett [Has03] who constructed their moduli spaces and gave a detailed description. The extension to the relative case, of course, is immediate (see for example [Ale96] where the moduli of stable maps *from surface pairs* were constructed, although without weights).

For completeness, let us give the definition in the case when points s_i are replaced by “something” of higher degree:

Definition 1.6. Fix positive integers called degrees d_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. A family of stable maps over S for the data $(A, (d_i))$ is a morphism of schemes $f : C \rightarrow V \times S$ together with morphisms $g_i : D_i \rightarrow C$ such that

- (1) the compositions $\pi \circ g_i : D_i \rightarrow S$ are finite and étale.
- (2) on every geometric fiber, the map $f_{\bar{s}} : C_{\bar{s}} \rightarrow V_{\bar{s}}$ is stable with respect to the $\sum d_i$ points $g_i(D_i)_{\bar{s}}$ for the weight data in which each a_i is repeated d_i times.

Thus, the only difference with the previous case is that we forget the order in each group of d_i points. It is obvious that the corresponding moduli stack is the $S_{d_1} \times \dots \times S_{d_n}$ -quotient of the previous moduli stack, and the same holds for the coarse moduli spaces.

Remark 1.7. Note, however, that one gets a very different moduli stack if instead of finite maps $g_i : D_i \rightarrow C$ one considers divisors or subschemes $D_i \subset C$ of degree d_i . When D_i lie in the smooth part of C , the coarse moduli space is the same, but the moduli stacks are different—ours have extra automorphisms. Over the nodes, the problems multiply, of course.

We will now fix a projective scheme $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with a very ample sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(1)$, an integer $g \geq 0$ and the weight data A . As in the unweighted case, we will further subdivide $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V)$ into a disjoint union

$$\overline{M}_{g,A}(V) = \coprod_{\beta} \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$$

with pieces $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ of finite type. There are several meanings that can be given to β :

- (1) When V is a complex variety, one can fix a homology class $\beta \in H_2(V, \mathbb{Z})$.
- (2) When the base is a field and V is a variety, the following algebraic analog of the homology class is commonly used (see e.g. [BM96]):

$$\beta : \text{Pic } V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad L \mapsto \deg f^*(L),$$

This can be extended to the case when the relative Picard scheme of $V/\mathcal{B}$ is nice, for example when $V = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{B}}^N$.

- (3) But we also would like to work with the case when V is just a flat projective scheme over $\mathcal{B}$. In this case, the simplest invariant which is locally closed on the fibers of a family and guarantees finite type is

$$\deg \beta = \deg f^*(\mathcal{O}_V(1)) \geq 0.$$

By abuse of notation, we will still use $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ instead of the more accurate but cumbersome $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \deg \beta)$.

Remark 1.8. Whenever the omission of any of g, A, V, β is unlikely to lead to confusion, we may do so.

Theorem 1.9. *The moduli stack $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is a proper algebraic Artin stack with finite stabilizer. For each (g, n, V, β) , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \times \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ (i.e. outside of the finitely many positive characteristics dividing N) is a Deligne-Mumford stack.*

By [KM97], this implies:

Corollary 1.10. *$\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ has a coarse moduli space, a proper algebraic space over the base scheme $\mathcal{B}$.*

As the referee pointed out to us, the formation of this coarse moduli space may not commute with the change of the base scheme $\mathcal{B}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, cf. [AOV07].

This theorem is a baby case of a construction which can be carried out for maps $f : (X, D_i) \rightarrow V$ with higher-dimensional X . We will give two proofs. The first proof will be a reduction to the case of weight data (1^n) , i.e. the “standard unweighted” case, where the statement is well-known, see e.g. [BM96], although in a weaker form, outside of finitely many characteristics. The second proof is more general.

Remark 1.11. Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^p$, and let us work in characteristic $p > 0$. Then f is a stable map whose automorphism group scheme is $\mu_p = \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^p - 1)$ is not reduced. This shows that the stack $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, p\mathbb{P}^1)$ is not Deligne-Mumford in general.

Theorem 1.12. *The stack $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ satisfies the valuative criterion of properness: every family over a punctured Spec of a DVR or a punctured regular curve $S \setminus 0$ has at most one extension, and the extension always exists after a finite base change $(S', 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$.*

Proof. The proof is exactly the same for the weighted or unweighted case, maps or curves (and indeed for higher-dimensional pairs as well), and is a variation of [DM69, §2], cf. also [Has03, Prop.3.7].

Let $U = S \setminus 0$, and let $C_U \rightarrow V \times U$ be the family. Assume first that the generic fiber C_η is smooth. By the semistable reduction theorem [AW71] (which holds in complete generality, including the case of mixed characteristics), after a base change $S' \rightarrow S$, there exists a semistable extension $C' \rightarrow S'$ such that: C'/S is flat and proper, C' is regular, and the central fiber is a reduced divisor with normal crossings. We then proceed with the

log Minimal Model Program for the pair $(C', \sum a_i s'_i)$ relative over $V \times S$, which is available in the necessary generality by [Sha66, Lic68].

First, we inductively contract f -exceptional curves E in the central fiber with $(K_{C'} + \sum a_i s'_i)E < 0$, where $K'_{C'}$ stands for the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{C'}$, which is invertible. These are easily seen to be (-1) -curves, i.e. forms of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $E^2 = -1$. After that, we contract all the f -exceptional curves with $(K_{C'} + \sum a_i s'_i)E = 0$. These are seen to be chains of (-2) -curves not meeting the sections s'_i with $a_i > 0$. The resulting surface $\overline{C}'$ is Gorenstein and has A_n -singularities of the form $xy = ut^{n+1}$, where t is the uniformizing parameter of the DVR, and u is a unit. Hence, the central fiber $\overline{C}'_0$ is a nodal curve, and $(\overline{C}', s'_i) \rightarrow S'$ is the required extension.

Conversely, let $C \rightarrow S$ be an extension. From the description of the deformations of ordinary double points, we see that C has singularities of the form $xy = ut^{n+1}$ and is Gorenstein. The minimal resolution adds a chain of (-2) -curves. Let $\tilde{C}$ be any regular surface extending C_U and dominating C , $F : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. Then F is a sequence of simple blowups and the local singularity condition on C implies that

$$K_{\tilde{C}} + \sum a_i s_i = F^* \left(K_C + \sum a_i s_i \right) + \sum b_j E_j,$$

where E_j are F -exceptional and $b_j \geq 0$. This implies that

$$C = \text{Proj}_{V \times S} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(d(K_{\tilde{C}} + \sum a_i s_i)).$$

In this formula, we assume that a_i are rational by Remark 1.5, and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Na_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since any two extensions of C_U can be dominated by a common model $\tilde{C}$, this implies the uniqueness of the extension.

The general case of a non-normal generic fiber is reduced to the previous case by taking the normalization. After a finite base change, the normalization is a disjoint union of the families as above with extra sections of weight 1. Given the extension for the normalization, the extension for the original family is obtained in a unique way by gluing along these sections. $\square$

Lemma 1.13. *Let $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a weight data and let $A \cup 0^m = (a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, \dots, 0)$ be the weight data obtained by adding m zeros. Assume that Theorem 1.9 has been proved for A , and let $(C, s_i) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ be its universal family. Then Theorem 1.9 holds for $A \cup 0^m$, and*

$$\overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0^m}(V, \beta) = \mathcal{C}_{\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)}^m$$

is the m -th fibered power of C over $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$.

Proof. Indeed, the only difference between families in $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and in $\overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0^m}(V, \beta)$ is m arbitrary sections. $\square$

Thus, we can and will assume that the weight A is positive until the end of the first proof of Theorem 1.9.

Each nodal n -pointed curve defines an equivalence relation $R(C, s_i)$ on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$: $i \sim j$ iff $s_i = s_j$.

Definition 1.14. Let R be an equivalence relation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. A map $f : (C, s_i) \rightarrow V$ with nodal C is called **R -compatible** if

- (1) If $i \sim j$, then s_i, s_j lie on the same irreducible component of C ;
- (2) s_i are not nodes;
- (3) if $i \not\sim j$, then $s_i \neq s_j$.

Lemma 1.15. *The stack $U_{g,A}(V, \beta, R)$ of A -stable families such that every geometric fiber is R -compatible is an open substack of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$.*

Proof. Indeed, it is obvious that all three parts of Definition 1.14 are open conditions in families of nodal curves. $\square$

Definition 1.16. We define the **R -simplified weight data** A_{sim}^R as follows: for each group of R -equivalent indices i , we set one of the weights a_i , for example for the smallest i , to 1 and the other weights in that group to 0.

Lemma 1.17. *Let $f : (C, s_i) \rightarrow V$ be an R -compatible map with nodal C . Assume that for every R -equivalence class r one has $\sum_{i \in r} a_i \leq 1$. Then if f is A -stable, then it is A_{sim}^R -stable.*

Proof. By the condition $\sum_{i \in r} a_i \leq 1$ and the requirement 1.14(3), (C, s_i) satisfies the singularity condition for stability.

Requirement 1.14(1) implies that the numerical condition for weight A_{sim}^R is the same, or better, than for weight A . $\square$

Corollary 1.18. *The stacks $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and $\overline{M}_{g,A_{\text{sim}}^R}(V, \beta)$ are locally isomorphic. Namely, for each point $(C, s_i, f) \in \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ there exists an open neighborhood isomorphic to an open substack in $\overline{M}_{g,A_{\text{sim}}^R}(V, \beta)$, where $R = R(C, s_i)$.*

Proof. For every A -stable map f , we take $R = R(C, s_i)$. Then we have an open neighborhood $U_{g,A}(V, \beta, R) \subset \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$, and by Lemma 1.17, $U_{g,A}(V, \beta, R)$ is an open substack of $U_{g,A_{\text{sim}}^R}(V, \beta, R) \subset \overline{M}_{g,A_{\text{sim}}^R}(V, \beta)$. $\square$

First proof of Theorem 1.9. For the weight data (1^n) , i.e. in the standard unweighted case, Theorem 1.9 is well known, see e.g. [BM96]. For the weight data A_{sim}^R , it follows by Lemma 1.13.

Since $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and $\overline{M}_{g,A_{\text{sim}}^R}(V, \beta)$ are locally isomorphic and the second stack is an algebraic Deligne-Mumford stack (resp. Artin stack with finite stabilizer), then so is the first.

There are only finitely many equivalence relations, so $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is of finite type. Finally, $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is proper by Theorem 1.12. $\square$

The second proof can be applied in the much more general situation of higher-dimensional stable pairs. We do not discuss here the many technical complications appearing in the higher-dimensional case.

For every family of stable maps $f : (C, s_i) \rightarrow V \times S$, define a functor

$$\mathrm{Aut}(f) : (S\text{-schemes}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Groups})^{\mathrm{op}}$$

by setting $\mathrm{Aut}(f)(S')$ to be the automorphism group of $f' : (C', s'_i) \rightarrow V \times S'$, where $(C', s'_i) = (C, s_i) \times_S S'$.

Theorem 1.19. *$\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ is represented by a finite group scheme over S .*

Proof. Locally on S the family $C_U \rightarrow U \subset S$ can be embedded into a projective space $\mathbb{P}_U$. By the theory of Hilbert schemes, this implies that $\mathrm{Aut}(f)$ is represented by a quasiprojective scheme G over S . Theorem 1.12 implies that $G \rightarrow S$ is proper.

Finally, it is easy to see that the automorphism group of a stable map (over a field) is finite (the weights only help). Hence, $G \rightarrow S$ is finite. $\square$

Second proof of Theorem 1.9. We first show that the maps f , together with the extra data of an embedding into a fixed projective space, are parameterized by a locally closed subscheme in a Hilbert scheme. This is done by a standard argument, similar to [MFK94, Prop. 5.1]. We then take the quotient by PGL to get rid of the extra data.

By Remark 1.5, we can assume that each a_i is rational. Let k be a positive integer such that $ka_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $L = \omega_C^k (\sum ka_i s_i) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_V(1)$. By [Has03, Prop.3.3], for any stable map, the sheaf L^N is very ample for $N \geq 3$ and does not have higher cohomology.

Let $d = \deg L$ and $M + 1 = h^0(C, L^N)$. Every choice of a basis in $H^0(C, L^N)$ gives an embedding into a fixed projective space $\mathbb{P}^M$ as a closed subscheme with Hilbert polynomial $h(x) = dx + 1 - g$. Further, $Y \times \mathbb{P}^M$ has a natural ample line bundle $p_1^* \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(1)$ of degree $d' = d + \deg \beta$. Let $h'(x) = d'x + 1 - g$ be the Hilbert polynomial corresponding to this embedding.

Now, let H be the Hilbert scheme parameterizing all closed subschemes $Z \subset Y \times \mathbb{P}^M$. The following conditions, applied in a sequence, are open: $p_2 : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$ is a closed embedding, Z is reduced, Z has at most nodes, and the homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic} V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induced by $Z \rightarrow V$ is β (resp. $\deg \beta$ is fixed). Let $H_1 \subset H$ be the open subscheme parameterizing Z with these conditions.

Next, we add points. First, we choose a closed subscheme $H_2 \subset H_1 \times (\mathbb{P}^M)^n$ corresponding to the collections $(C, s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_i \in C$. Secondly, $H_3 \subset H_2$ is the open subscheme corresponding to collections such that the n -pointed curve satisfies the condition on singularities in Definition 1.2, which is open in families.

On the universal family $C_3 \rightarrow H_3$, we have two invertible sheaves: $p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^M}(1)$ and $\omega_{C_3/H_3}^{\otimes k} (\sum ka_i s_i)$. These give two sections of the relative Picard scheme of C_3/H_3 , which is represented by an algebraic space (see e.g. [BLR90, Thm. 8.3.1]). Let $H_4 \subset H_3$ be the locally closed subscheme where the two sections coincide.

The morphism $H_4 \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is, locally in Zariski topology, a PGL_{M+1} -torsor. Indeed, the only difference between the two stacks is the embedding of the family into $\mathbb{P}^M$. The stabilizer of the PGL -action is proper by Theorem 1.19. Hence, $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is an algebraic Artin stack with finite stabilizer.

The order of the automorphism group of f can be bounded universally in terms of g, n and $\deg \beta$. For a stable map defined over a field of positive characteristic larger than this bound or a field of characteristic zero, the automorphism group scheme is reduced. Hence, for large enough divisible N , the stack $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \times \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ is Deligne-Mumford. $\square$

2. CHAMBERS, WALLS, AND SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

Definition 2.1. Let us fix g, n, V and β . We will call the weight data $A \in [0, 1]^n$ **admissible** if the stack $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is nonempty.

Weight data of the same length have a natural partial order: $A = (a_i) \geq B = (b_i)$ if $a_i \geq b_i$ for all i .

Following [Has03], we define the set $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$, where the admissible weights can theoretically live, and two decompositions of it into finitely many chambers.

Definition 2.2. If $(g, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ or $(1, 0)$, we set $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$ to be the cube $[0, 1]^n$. $\mathcal{D}_{1,n,0} = [0, 1]^n$ minus the point (0^n) , and $\mathcal{D}_{0,n,0}$ is the subset of $[0, 1]^n$ defined by the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i > 2$.

We define two chamber decompositions of $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$ obtained by cutting it by finitely many hyperplanes. For the **coarse decomposition**, the hyperplanes are

$$\mathcal{W}_c = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1 : I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, 3 \leq |I| \leq n \right\}.$$

For the **fine decomposition**, they are

$$\mathcal{W}_f = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1 : I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, 2 \leq |I| \leq n \right\}.$$

Remark 2.3. We corrected an obvious typo in [Has03] which states $|I| \leq n - 2$ in place of $|I| \leq n$ (which is correct for $(g, \beta) = (0, 0)$).

There are many kinds of chambers one can define: open, closed, locally closed. For us, the *locally closed* chambers will be the most useful:

Definition 2.4. A **chamber** is a nonempty locally closed subset of $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$ obtained by choosing for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ either the inequality $\sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1$ or the inequality $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.5 (cf. [Has03], Prop.5.1). *For two weight data A and B , we have the following:*

- (1) If A and B belong to the same chamber in the coarse decomposition and have the same zero weights, then $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and $\overline{M}_{g,B}(V, \beta)$ naturally coincide.
- (2) If A and B belong to the same chamber in the fine decomposition and have the same zero weights then the universal families over $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and $\overline{M}_{g,B}(V, \beta)$ naturally coincide.

Proof. (1) We have to compare the two conditions of Definition 1.2 for A and B . The condition on singularities $\sum_{s_i=P} a_i \leq 1$ is obviously the same for A and B . The numerical condition says that for any irreducible component E of C collapsed by f to a point, one should have

$$\deg \omega_C \left(\sum a_i s_i \right) \Big|_E = 2p_a(E) - 2 + |E \cap (C - E)| + \sum_{s_i \in E} a_i > 0.$$

If $(g, \beta) \neq (1, 0)$ or $(0, 0)$, and E is not a $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $|E \cap (C - E)| = 2$ this condition is either vacuous or says

$$-1 + \sum_{s_i \in E} a_i > 0$$

Hence, it is the same for A and B . If $(g, \beta) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 0)$, the additional cases say simply that A and B should be in $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$. If E is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $|E \cap (C - E)| = 2$ then the condition says $\sum_{s_i \in E} a_i > 0$. Since A and B have the same zero weights, this condition is equivalent for A and B .

(2) By Lemma 1.13, the universal family $\mathcal{C}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is the moduli space for the weight data $A \cup 0$; now apply (1). $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let (a_i) be a positive weight data and suppose that for all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the weight data (a_i, ϵ^m) is in the interior of the same chamber as $(a_i, 0^m)$, and both are in $\mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$. Then (a_i, ϵ^m) is admissible iff $(a_i, 0^m)$ is admissible.*

Proof. Let $f : (C, s_i) \rightarrow Y$ be a stable map w.r.t (a_i, ϵ^m) for

$$0 < m\epsilon < \min_I \left(-1 + \sum_{i \in I} a_i > 0 \right).$$

Then the numerical condition of stability fails for the weight data $(a_i, 0^m)$ on the f -exceptional curves $E = \mathbb{P}^1$ which intersect the rest of C at two points and which do not contain any s_i with $a_i > 0$.

Let C' be the curve obtained by contracting each such E to a point. Suppose the whole C is contracted this way, i.e. every component of C was of this form. But then $g = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $(a_i) = \emptyset$, so the weight data $(a_i, 0^m) \notin \mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$.

Hence, C' is indeed a curve. The induced map $f' : (C', s_i) \rightarrow Y$ is obviously stable for $(a_i, 0^m)$, so the weight data $(a_i, 0^m)$ is admissible.

The opposite direction is easier: just put the m points with weight ϵ at some unused nonsingular points of C' . $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *For each (g, n, V, β) the set of admissible weight data is a union of several chambers.*

We now introduce a convenient way to label the chambers.

Definition 2.8. Let us identify every subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with a simplex σ with vertices in I ; we have $\dim \sigma = |I| - 1$. The **simplicial complex Δ_A associated to the weight data $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$** consists of simplices $\sigma(I)$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq 1$. We will often identify σ with $\sigma(I)$ and denote the simplex as simply σ .

If $\sigma(I')$ is a face of $\sigma(I)$, i.e. $I' \subset I$, then $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq 1$ implies $\sum_{i \in I'} a_i \leq 1$. Therefore, Δ_A is indeed a complex in the usual sense.

Remark 2.9. It is a strong condition for a complex Δ to be associated with a chamber. For example, the complex $\{12, 34, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is not associated to any chamber: the system of inequalities $a_1 + a_2 \leq 1$, $a_3 + a_4 \leq 1$, $a_1 + a_3 > 1$, $a_2 + a_4 > 1$ has no solution.

Corollary 2.10. *With the zero weights fixed:*

- (1) *The moduli space $\overline{M}_{g, \Delta}(V, \beta)$ and universal family $\mathcal{C}_{g, \Delta}(V, \beta)$ are well-defined using any A such that $\Delta = \Delta_A$.*
- (2) *For the universal family, one has $\mathcal{C}_{g, \Delta}(V, \beta) = \overline{M}_{g, \text{Cone } \Delta}(V, \beta)$, where $\text{Cone } \Delta$ is the simplicial complex on $n + 1$ vertices consisting of σ and $\sigma \cup \{n + 1\}$ for all $\sigma \in \Delta$.*

In the following example, we fix notation for several complexes of which we will make use throughout the remainder of this paper.

Example 2.11.

- (1) For the weight data (1^n) , Δ is the disjoint union of n vertices.
- (2) For the weight data (ϵ^n) , Δ contains all simplices; i.e. the support $|\Delta|$ is homeomorphic to an $(n - 1)$ -ball.
- (3) For the weight data $(1/(r + 1), \dots, 1/(r + 1))$ with n vertices, the complex is given by the r -skeleton of an n -simplex (i.e. every subset of vertices with $r + 1$ or fewer vertices is a face of the complex), and we will denote this complex $\Delta_{n, r}$. Included in this are the previous two examples for $r = 0$ and $r = n - 1$, respectively. We will reference this for any combination of g, V, β for which the corresponding space is nonempty. See Propositions 8.2 and 8.5.
- (4) For the weight data $(1^2, \epsilon^{n-2})$ with $\epsilon < 1/(n - 2)$, the complex $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{n-2}}$ has the first two vertices isolated, and the remaining vertices form a complete simplex. Whenever we reference this complex, we will assume that $g = 0, V = \{pt\}, \beta = 0$. See Lemma 5.5 and Example 6.7.2.
- (5) For the weight data $(1, a_1, \dots, a_r)$ such that $\sum a_i > 1$, but for any proper subset one has $\sum a_i \leq 1$, the complex $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{r-2}}$ is a single isolated vertex plus the boundary of an $(r - 1)$ -simplex. Whenever we

reference this complex we will assume that $g = 0, V = \{pt\}, \beta = 0$. See Lemmas 4.5, 5.2 and Example 6.7.3.

- (6) Corollary 2.10 gives that the complex associated to the universal family of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is the cone over Δ_A with vertex given by the additional section. We denote this as $\text{Cone}(\Delta_A)$
- (7) For any weight data A and σ a collection of vertices in Δ_A , we define Δ_{A_σ} to be the complex attained by replacing the vertices of σ with a single vertex, which we shall label σ , and assigning the weight equal to the sum of the weights in σ . We note that $\gamma \subset \Delta_A$ corresponds to a face of Δ_{A_σ} iff γ is a face of Δ_A and either:
 - (a) $\gamma \cap \sigma = \emptyset$.
 - (b) $\sigma \subset \gamma$. In this case, γ will correspond to the face of Δ_{A_σ} containing the vertices in $\gamma \setminus \sigma$ as well as the vertex which we label as σ .

This complex will appear naturally in the wall crossing formula 7.2.

3. REDUCTION AND FORGETFUL MORPHISMS

Theorem 3.1 (Reduction morphism). *Fix g, V, β and let $A, B \in \mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$ be two admissible weight data such that $A \geq B$. Then there exists a natural reduction morphism*

$$\rho_{B,A} : \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,B}(V, \beta).$$

Given a stable map $(C, s_1, \dots, s_n, f)$ for the weight data A , its image $\rho_{B,A}(C, s_1, \dots, s_n, f)$ is obtained by successively collapsing components of C along which $K_\pi + a_{i_1}s_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_r}s_{i_r}$ fails to be f -ample.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [Has03, Thm.4.3], which is the corresponding statement in the absolute case, with the sheaf $\omega^k(\sum ka_i s_i)$ replaced by $\omega^k(\sum ka_i s_i) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_V(3)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Forgetful morphism). *Let $A = (a_i) \in \mathcal{D}_{g,n,\beta}$ and $A' = (a'_i) \in \mathcal{D}_{g,m,\beta}$ be two admissible weight data such that A' is obtained from A by dropping the last $n - m$ weights. Then there exists a natural morphism $\phi_{A',A} : \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A'}(V, \beta)$. Moreover, $\phi_{A',A}$ is flat and Gorenstein.*

Proof. By the previous theorem, there exists a natural morphism $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A' \cup 0^{n-m}}(V, \beta)$, and $\overline{M}_{g,A' \cup 0^{n-m}}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A'}(V, \beta)$ is the n -th fiber power of the universal curve.

By Corollary 1.18, locally both $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ and $\overline{M}_{g,A'}(V, \beta)$ are isomorphic to the stacks for the weight data A_{sim}^R and $A'_{\text{sim}}^{R'}$. Here, the weight datas A and A' consist of 1's and 0's only, and the index set of A' is naturally a subset of the index set A . Thus, locally, the moduli spaces are isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{g,(1^a, 0^b)}(V, \beta)$, $\overline{M}_{g,(1^{a'}, 0^{b'})}(V, \beta)$ with $a' \leq a, b' \leq b$.

In these local charts, $\phi_{A',A}$ reduces to the natural forgetful morphism $\overline{M}_{g,(1^a, 0^b)}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,(1^{a'}, 0^{b'})}(V, \beta)$, which is a composition of morphisms forgetting a single 1 or 0. Each of these elementary morphisms is a universal

family over the corresponding moduli space. Hence this morphism is flat. Since the curves are nodal, it is also Gorenstein. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 (Decreasing from ϵ to 0). *Let (a_i) be a positive weight data and suppose that for all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the weight data $A_\epsilon = (a_i, \epsilon^m)$ is in the interior of the same chamber as $A_0 = (a_i, 0^m)$, and the latter is admissible (cf. Lemma 2.6).*

For each $[f : (C, s_i) \rightarrow Y] \in \overline{M}_{g, A_0}(V, \beta)$, suppose some k_1 zero-weight points coincide with one node, and k_2 with another node, etc.

Then the fiber of the morphism $\rho : \overline{M}_{g, A_\epsilon}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, A_0}(V, \beta)$ over $[f]$ is $\prod_\alpha \overline{M}_{0, (1^{2, \epsilon k_\alpha})}$. In particular, it is a single point if and only if all $k_\alpha = 1$, and in this case ρ is an isomorphism over a neighborhood of $[f]$.

Proof. Indeed, as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, the curves that may get identified by ρ are obtained by contracting f -exceptional $E = \mathbb{P}^1$ with two points of weight 1 and k_α points of weight ϵ . Finally, $\overline{M}_{0, (1^2, \epsilon)}$ is a point. $\square$

Lemma 3.4 (Universal family). *Let $A = (a_i)$ be an admissible positive weight data. Then for all $0 < \epsilon \ll \delta \ll 1$, the universal family over $\overline{M}_{g, A}(V, \beta)$ is the moduli space for the weight data $(a_i - \delta, \epsilon)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.13, the moduli space $\overline{M}_{(b_i, 0)}(V, \beta)$ is naturally isomorphic to the universal family over the moduli space $\overline{M}_{(b_i)}(V, \beta)$.

Now, by Remark 1.5, the moduli spaces for (a_i) and $(a_i - \delta)$ are the same for $0 < \delta \ll 1$. By choosing δ sufficiently small, we can guarantee that the point $(a_i - \delta, \epsilon)$ is in the interior of the same chamber as $(a_i - \delta, 0)$, so that the previous lemma applies.

Since in this situation there is a unique k_1 , equal to 1, by the previous lemma the morphism $\overline{M}_{g, (a_i - \delta, \epsilon)}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, (a_i - \delta, 0)}(V, \beta)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

4. CROSSING A SINGLE WALL = ADDING A SIMPLEX

Definition 4.1. If $A^+ \geq A$ and Δ_A is obtained from Δ_{A^+} by adding a single simplex $\sigma(I)$, i.e. by changing the sign in the single inequality from $\sum_{i \in I} a_i > 1$ to ≤ 1 , then the change from A^+ to A will be called a **simple wall crossing**.

Lemma 4.2. *For any two positive weight data $A \geq B$ there exist weight data $A' \geq B'$ such that $\Delta_A = \Delta_{A'}$, $\Delta_B = \Delta_{B'}$, and the straight line from A' to B' goes through a sequence of simple wall crossings.*

Proof. Indeed, we can change (a_i) by $(a_i - \epsilon_i)$ for small generic $\epsilon_i > 0$, and similarly for b_i . The condition that the line passes through an intersection of the hyperplanes $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1$ is a union of hyperplanes, and so for the generic ϵ_i this does not happen. $\square$

Definition 4.3. Let J be the complement of I . Consider two weight data $A^+ = (a_i^+, a_j)$ and $A = (a_i, a_j)$, $i \in I$, $j \in J$ such that

- (1) $a_i^+ \geq a_i > 0$ for $i \in I$,
- (2) $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i \in I} a_i^+ > 1$,
- (3) Δ_A is obtained from Δ_{A^+} by adding a single simplex $\sigma(I)$.

In this situation, we will introduce new weight data

$$A_I^+ = (1, a_i^+) \quad \text{and} \quad A_J = (1, a_j)$$

of lengths $|I| + 1$ and $|J| + 1$ respectively. We denote $r = |I|$ for simplicity.

Theorem 4.4. *There exists a natural closed embedding $\iota : \overline{M}_{g, A_J}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, A}(V, \beta)$ defined by associating to a family*

$$(C, s_\bullet, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow S, \quad f : C \rightarrow V \times S$$

the family

$$(C, s_\bullet, \dots, s_\bullet, s_{r+1}, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow S, \quad \text{with the same } f$$

in which the section $s_\bullet$ is repeated r times.

The image is an intersection of $r - 1$ globally defined Cartier divisors which is locally a complete intersection; in particular it has codimension $r - 1$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1$, it is clear that the first family in the statement of the theorem is stable with respect to the weight data A_J if and only if the second one is stable with respect to the weight data A . Hence, ι is well defined.

As a set, the image is the intersection of $r - 1$ globally defined closed sets $\{s_1 = s_i\}$, $i = 2, \dots, r$. Let us check that these sets are indeed given by Cartier divisors, and that locally it is a complete intersection.

To see this, we can work with a local chart. Thus, we can simplify the weight A partially, by replacing the part (a_i) , $i \in I$, by $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. According to Corollary 1.18, this will not change the moduli stack in a neighborhood of the image of ι .

By Lemma 1.13, the moduli space for the partially simplified weight is an $(r - 1)$ st fiber power of the universal curve over $\overline{M}_{g, A_J}(V, \beta)$, and ι is a section of this projection. Since the morphism $C \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, A_J}(V, \beta)$ of the universal family is smooth of relative dimension one along the section $s_\bullet$, the morphism from the fiber power is smooth of relative dimension $r - 1$ along $(s_\bullet, \dots, s_\bullet)$. Hence, its section is a complete intersection of $r - 1$ Cartier divisors F_i on which $s_i = s_\bullet$. $\square$

We now describe the reduction morphism ρ_{A, A^+} . We start set-theoretically.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $a_1^+, \dots, a_r^+$ be nonnegative numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i^+ > 1$, but for any proper subset one has $\sum a_i^+ \leq 1$. Let $A_I^+ = (1, a_i^+)$ be the weight data of length $r + 1$. Then $\overline{M}_{0, A_I^+} = \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$, the universal family is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ at a point, and every geometric fiber $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{s}}$ in the universal family is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. If $C_{\bar{s}}$ is not $\mathbb{P}^1$, then it is a tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with at least two end-points. For the corresponding irreducible components E_j of C , one must have $\sum_{s_i \in E_j} a_i^+ > 1$, which is not possible by the conditions.

Let us denote the section corresponding to weight 1 by ∞ , and the section corresponding to a_1^+ by 0. Then $\overline{M}_{0,A_I^+}$ is the moduli of r points on $\mathbb{A}^1$, not all of which are equal to 0. Taking into account that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, \infty) = \mathbb{G}_m$, we get $\overline{M}_{0,A'} = (\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$.

Consider $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ with r coordinate hyperplanes and a point $P = (1, \dots, 1)$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \text{Bl}_P \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the blowup, and $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_P) = \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the natural fibration with $r+1$ sections: r for the hyperplanes and one more for the exceptional divisor. If we assign to the hyperplanes weights a_i^+ and to the exceptional divisor weight 1, then we get the universal family of stable curves for the weight data A_I^+ over $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$.

Indeed, consider the family of lines through the point $(1, \dots, 1)$. We can write the equation of such a line parametrically as follows: $t_1 x_i = x_1(t_1 - c_i t_0)$, $(t_1 : t_0)$ being a point of $\mathbb{P}^1$. In this parameterization, $(1, \dots, 1)$ corresponds to $(t_0 : t_1) = (0 : 1)$, the intersection with the hyperplane $x_1 = 0$ to the point $(1 : 0)$, and the coefficients c_i are defined up to a common invertible multiple. The intersections with the hyperplanes $x_i = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, r$ are the points $(1 : c_i)$. Hence, this is the universal family over $(\mathbb{A}^{r-1} \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let p be a geometric point of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. Then*

- (1) *if $p \notin \iota(\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta))$, then $\rho_{A,A^+}^{-1}(p)$ is one point corresponding to the same map f .*
- (2) *if $p \in \iota(\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta))$ then $\rho_{A,A^+}^{-1}(p)$ is $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ and consists of maps $f : C \rightarrow V$ such that $C = C_I \cup C_J$ is a union of two curves C_I, C_J glued at the point $s_{\bullet}$ for the extra weight 1, such that $C_I = \mathbb{P}^1$ is collapsed to a point of by f , and $f|_{C_J}$ is a stable map for the weight data A_J .*

Set-theoretically, on the exceptional sets the morphism is the projection to the second summand

$$\mathbb{P}^{r-2} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \iota \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the only contraction that can occur is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ which intersects the rest of the curve C at one point, contains precisely the points s_i for $i \in I$, and C_I is collapsed by f . Hence, $C = C_I \cup C_J$ as claimed. The set of such curves is the moduli space of $(C_I, s_i, s_{\bullet})$, which is $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ by the previous lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *There exists an open neighborhood U of $\iota(\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta))$ with a morphism $\phi' : U \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ such that ϕ' and $\phi' \circ \rho_{A,A^+} : \rho_{A,A^+}^{-1} U \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ are smooth.*

Proof. The smooth morphism ϕ' was constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.4. The morphism $\phi' \circ \rho_{A,A^+}$ is a restriction of a forgetful morphism

to an open set. By Theorem 3.2 we know that it is flat, and that it can be decomposed into several morphisms from the universal family to the corresponding moduli stack. Such a “universal” morphism is smooth except at the node. When one encounters a node, the new moduli space (the universal family over an old moduli) corresponds to some curves with 2 new nodes. This does not happen by Lemma 4.6. Hence, $\phi' \circ \rho_{A,A^+}$ is smooth. $\square$

We now describe the reduction morphism ρ_{A,A^+} as an explicit blowup along a complete intersection. This is true even though the moduli spaces involved could be very complicated: nonreduced and not equidimensional. Philosophically, such a nice description is possible because, by the above theorem, the “hard part” of the moduli – varying the curve and the map – and the “easy part” – varying points – locally split. The change from A^+ to A occurs only in the “easy” direction.

Theorem 4.8 (cf. [Has03], Prop.4.5). *For a simple wall crossing, $\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)$ is the blowup of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ along $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$, which is a complete intersection of codimension $r - 1$, where $r := |I|$. The exceptional Cartier divisor is*

$$D_{I,J} := \overline{M}_{0,A^+} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta), \quad \text{moreover} \quad \overline{M}_{0,A^+} = \mathbb{P}^{r-2},$$

which as a set was described in Lemma 4.6.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $\iota(\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta))$ in $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. We first prove that $\rho = \rho_{A,A^+}$ factors through the blowup:

$$\rho' : \overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{\iota(\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta))} \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$$

For this, we check the universal property of the blowup: the preimage $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of $\overline{M}_{0,A_J}(V, \beta)$ is invertible.

From the description given in the proof of Theorem 4.4, the ideal I is locally generated by regular functions $f_2, \dots, f_r$ such that the zero set of f_i is the locus in $\overline{M}_{0,A}(V, \beta)$ where the points s_1 and s_i coincide. Let g be a local equation of the exceptional divisor E . Then we have: $\rho^*(f_i) = gf'_i$, and the zero set of f'_i is contained in the locus in $\overline{M}_{0,A^+}(V, \beta)$ where the points s_1 and s_i coincide. Hence, the zero set of the ideal (f'_i) is contained in the locus in $\overline{M}_{0,A^+}(V, \beta)$ where all r points s_i coincide. This locus is empty. Therefore,

$$\rho^{-1}\mathcal{I} = (gf'_i) = (g)$$

is principal, and so ρ factors through the blowup.

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.4, we know that $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta) \subset \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is a complete intersection of codimension $r - 1$, so its normal bundle is a direct sum of $r - 1$ copies of the same line bundle. Therefore, the exceptional set of the blowup is also $\mathbb{P}^{r-2} \times \overline{M}_{0,A_J}(V, \beta)$.

Hence, the morphism ρ' to the blowup is a bijection on geometric points. Since both the source and the target of ρ' are smooth over $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ by Theorem 4.7, it follows that ρ' is an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *For any two positive weight data $A \geq B$, the stable reduction morphism $\rho_{B,A} : \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,B}(V, \beta)$ is a composition of several blowups; it contracts the divisors D_σ associated to the faces σ of dimension strictly greater than one which are in the complex Δ_B but not in Δ_A .*

The morphism $\rho_{B,A}$ is an isomorphism iff Δ_A and Δ_B differ only in several edges, i.e. simplices of dimension 1.

5. PSI CLASSES

Let $\pi_A : \mathcal{C}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ be the map of the universal family with sections $s_{i,A}$ and relative dualizing bundle ω_{π_A} , and let N_{s_i} be the normal bundle of s_i in the universal family.

Definition 5.1. For a positive weight data A , we define the **psi classes** to be

$$\psi_{i,g,A} := c_1(s_{i,A}^*(\omega_{\pi_A})) = -c_1(N_{s_i})$$

Note that if $a_i > 0$, then by Definition 1.2, s_i is contained in the locus of $\pi : C \rightarrow S$ where π is smooth. Hence, $\psi_{i,g,A}$ are the first Chern classes of invertible sheaves. If some of the weights $a_i = 0$, we must adjust this definition.

Let $A = (a_i)$ be the positive weight data. Then by Lemma 3.4, the universal family $\mathcal{C}$ over $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is $\overline{M}_{g,(a_i-\delta,\epsilon)}(V, \beta)$, and so for the weight- ϵ section there is a well-defined psi class using the above definition, and let us denote it simply ψ .

For the weight data $A \cup 0^m$ we define the psi class for the section s_j with $a_j = 0$ to be the pullback of ψ under the j -th projection

$$\overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0^m}(V, \beta) = \mathcal{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{C} : \quad \psi_j := p_j^*(\psi).$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}^m$ is the m -th fibered power over $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$.

We may refer to the psi class of a vertex of Δ with the obvious meaning. We also omit any subscripts of the notation whenever it is unlikely to lead to confusion.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider the complex $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{r-2}}$ as defined in Example 2.11.5. The psi classes of the nonisolated vertices are $-h$, for h the hyperplane section of $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$, and the isolated vertex has psi class h .*

Proof. Consider the map π of the universal curve, which is described in Lemma 4.5. For the preimages of hyperplanes H_i , resp. for the exceptional divisor E of the blowup, we get

$$N_{s_i} = \mathcal{O}_{H_i}(H_i) = \mathcal{O}_{H_i}(1), \quad \text{resp.} \quad N_{s_i} = \mathcal{O}_E(E) = \mathcal{O}_E(-1).$$

Hence, $\psi_i = -c_1(N_{s_i})$ are as claimed. $\square$

5.1. Pull-back via reduction. Recall that D_σ is the divisor parameterizing maps of curves with sections corresponding to σ on a contracted genus zero component and the remaining sections on the genus g component as in Corollary 4.9.

Theorem 5.3. *For any simple wall crossing with positive weight data A , we have $\psi_{i,A^+} = \rho^*(\psi_{i,A}) + D_\sigma$ for $i \in \sigma$ and $\psi_{j,A^+} = \rho^*(\psi_{j,A})$ for $j \notin \sigma$.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \rho_{A,A^+}$ be the reduction morphism between the moduli stacks, and $\tilde{\rho} = \rho_{A \cup 0, A^+ \cup 0}$ be the induced reduction morphism between their universal families. For clarity, we denote $D_\sigma = D_{I,J}$. Also, recall the notations introduced in Definition 4.3.

By choosing some $0 < \epsilon \ll \delta \ll 1$, we can replace $A = (a_i)$ by the weight data $A' = (a_i - \delta)$ without changing the chamber, so that $A' \cup 0$ and $A' \cup \epsilon$ lie in the same chamber as well; we will keep denoting it A . Similarly, we can assume that the universal family for A^+ is the moduli stack for the weight $A^+ \cup \epsilon$. Then we see that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a composition of two simple wall crossings: for $(I \cup \bullet, J)$ and for $(I, J \cup \bullet)$.

We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{M}_{g,A^+ \cup \epsilon}(V, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & \overline{M}_{g,A' \cup \epsilon}(V, \beta) \\ \downarrow \pi_{A^+} & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ \overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \overline{M}_{g,A'}(V, \beta) \end{array}$$

Now we would like to write the standard formulas for the change of the canonical class under the blowup. This would work if the above moduli stacks were smooth, but of course they may be highly singular, not equidimensional, etc.

So, instead we note that all of these spaces come with forgetful morphisms to the moduli space $\overline{M}_J := \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$, and by Theorem 3.2 they are all Gorenstein over $\overline{M}_J$. Therefore, the relative dualizing sheaves over $\overline{M}_J$ exist and are invertible. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\overline{M}_{A^+}/\overline{M}_J} &= \rho^* \omega_{\overline{M}_{A'}/\overline{M}_J} + (r-2)D_{I,J} \\ \omega_{\overline{M}_{A^+ \cup \epsilon}/\overline{M}_J} &= \tilde{\rho}^* \omega_{\overline{M}_{A' \cup \epsilon}/\overline{M}_J} + (r-2)D_{I,J \cup \bullet} + (r-1)D_{I \cup \bullet, J} \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting, and taking into account $\pi_{A^+}^*(D_{I,J}) = D_{I,J \cup \bullet} + D_{I \cup \bullet, J}$, we obtain

$$\omega_{\pi_{A^+}} = \tilde{\rho}^* \omega_{\pi_A} + D_{I \cup \bullet, J}$$

The restriction of $D_{I \cup \bullet, J}$ to a section $s_i, i \in I$ is $D_{I,J}$, and to a section $s_j, j \in J$ is 0. This gives the stated formula. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *For any positive $A \geq B$, let $F(A, B)$ be the set of faces of Δ_B which are not in Δ_A . Then we have*

$$\psi_{i,A} = \rho_{B,A}^*(\psi_{i,B}) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in F(A,B) \\ i \in \sigma}} D_\sigma$$

5.2. Pullback via decreasing from ϵ to 0. The next lemma pertains to one of the first examples of weighted moduli spaces which were studied by A. Losev and Yu. I. Manin in [LM00]. It is, interestingly enough, the toric variety associated to the permutohedron, the convex hull of the S_n -orbit of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. In fact, this moduli space can be interpreted as the moduli space of stable $(n-2)$ -pointed curves $(\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright C, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})$ with torus action.

Lemma 5.5. *Consider the complex $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{n-2}}$ for the weight data $(1^2, \epsilon^{n-2})$, as in Example 2.11.4. Then the psi classes of the nonisolated vertices are zero.*

Proof. Decrease $a_2 = 1$ to $1 - (n-3)\epsilon$. This gives the reduction morphism $\overline{M}_{\mathcal{L}_{n-2}} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-3}}$. On the latter space, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$, the psi class is $-h$. Under the successive wall crossings, the psi class is changed by adding exceptional divisors for blowing up at $n-3$ points, then $\binom{n-3}{2}$ strict preimages of lines through those points, then $\binom{n-3}{3}$ strict preimages of 2-planes, etc., and ending with a strict preimage of a hyperplane. This adds up to the full preimage of a hyperplane, and the result is zero. $\square$

(See also a second proof at the end of Subsection 5.3.)

Theorem 5.6. *As in Lemma 3.3, let (a_i) be the positive weight data and suppose that for all $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the weight data $A_\epsilon = (a_i, \epsilon^m)$ is in the interior of the same chamber as $A_0 = (a_i, 0^m)$, and the latter is admissible. Let $\rho : \overline{M}_{g,A_\epsilon}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A_0}(V, \beta)$ be the reduction morphism. Then*

$$\psi_{i,A_\epsilon} = \rho^* \psi_{i,A_0} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 the fibers of ρ are products of $\overline{M}_{0,(1^2, \epsilon^k)}$, and by Lemma 5.5 the restrictions of ψ_{i,A_ϵ} to the fibers are all zero. Together with the fact that by Theorem 3.2 both spaces are Gorenstein over $M_{g,(a_i)}(V, \beta)$, this gives the statement. $\square$

5.3. Pull-back via the forgetful morphism. We start by considering the pullback of psi classes whenever the forgetful map corresponds to that of the universal curve as given in Lemma 1.13 and Corollary 2.10.

Lemma 5.7. *Let A be any admissible weight data of length n , and let $\phi : \overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ be the forgetful morphism. Then*

$$\psi_{i,A \cup 0} = \phi^* \psi_{i,A} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Indeed, the universal family over $\overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0}(V, \beta)$ is the cartesian product

$$\mathcal{C}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \times_{\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)} \overline{M}_{g,A \cup 0}(V, \beta),$$

and so $\omega_{\pi \cup 0}$ is the pullback of ω_π . $\square$

We recall that in the unweighted case, we have the well known basic pullback relationship due to Witten which states that

$$\psi_{i,n+1} = \phi^*(\psi_{i,n}) + D_{i,n+1},$$

where $D_{i,n+1}$ is the divisor with only the marked points $i, n+1$ on a genus zero contracted component, and ϕ is the morphism which forgets the $n+1^{st}$ point and stabilizes.

Define $A' := A \setminus \{a_{n+1}\}$ and consider the complexes Δ_A and $\text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$. We identify the vertex of $\text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$ with the last section of A . We note that, just as in the standard unweighted case, the faces

$$F(A, A') := \{\sigma \in \text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'}) : \sigma \notin \Delta_A\}$$

are in bijection with divisors D_σ which become unstable after forgetting the last section. Using these observations, we state and prove the analogue of the basic pullback relationship.

Theorem 5.8 (Basic Pullback Relation). *For any admissible weight data A of length $n+1$, if $A' := A \setminus \{a_{n+1}\}$ is also admissible, then*

$$\psi_{i,A} = \phi_{A',A}^*(\psi_{i,A'}) + \xi_i$$

with

$$\xi_i := \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in F(A, A') \\ i \in \sigma}} D_\sigma$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.7 we can assume that A is positive. We decrease the weights a_i a little to get into the interior of a chamber. Then we decrease the weight a_{n+1} we are about to forget to ϵ , and then to 0. The psi classes will change as claimed by Corollary 5.4 and Theorem 5.6. Then we apply Lemma 5.7 one more time. $\square$

We use this result to give the second proof of Lemma 5.5 now.

Second proof of Lemma 5.5. By Theorem 5.8, the psi classes of the nonisolated vertices pull back from $\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. $\overline{M}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_1}}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{0,3}$ = a point. Therefore, the pullback is zero. $\square$

6. GRAVITATIONAL DESCENDANTS

A crucial ingredient in the theory of stable maps is the notion of the virtual fundamental class of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. In the unweighted case, this is treated in [BF97] and [Beh97], among other places. In the weighted context, it is reasonable to expect that one could define a virtual fundamental class in the same fashion. Rather than repeat those constructions, we make the following definition of the virtual fundamental class for the weight A .

Definition 6.1 (Virtual Fundamental Class). Let A be an admissible weight data and ρ the reduction morphism from (1^n) to A . Then we define

$$[\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} := \rho_* [\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$$

We do not check the axioms of the virtual fundamental class, which in the unweighted case were given in [BM96]; for this, one may consult [BM06].

The moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ are equipped with n evaluation morphisms $\nu_{i,A} : \overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta) \rightarrow V$ defined by $\nu_{i,A}([C, \{s_i\}, f]) = f(s_i)$.

Definition 6.2. We define an analogue of the usual notion of the gravitational descendants of Gromov-Witten theory which we denote as

$$\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} := \int \left(\prod \psi_{i,A}^{k_i} \cup \nu_{i,A}^*(\gamma_i) \right) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}},$$

where $\gamma_i \in A^*(V, \mathbb{Q})$ ($\gamma_i \in H^*(V, \mathbb{Q})$ when working over $\mathbb{C}$), and each k_i is a nonnegative integer.

As usual, these are defined to be zero unless

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + \deg \gamma_i) = (1 - g) \dim V - K_V \beta + (3g - 3 + n).$$

Whenever any k_i is negative, we define this to be zero as well.

We note that whenever $k_i = 0$, these are simply the Gromov-Witten invariants of V .

We warn the reader to treat the τ 's as noncommuting variables and to not shift indices without discretion as the symmetry of these descendants is very often broken. One can describe the commuting properties of the τ 's in terms of the symmetries of the complex Δ_A , but we will make no use of this and leave it to the reader. When the weight is (1^n) , we omit the weight and note the number of marked sections, the genus g , V and β .

The first property of the weighted descendants is this:

Lemma 6.3. *For g, n, V, β fixed, each descendant $\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta}$ is constant as A varies in a fine chamber.*

Proof. Indeed, when A varies so that the zero weights remain the same, the moduli space and the universal family stay constant by Proposition 2.5. When some coefficients decrease from ϵ to 0, the intersection stays constant by Theorem 5.6, Definition 6.1 and projection formula. $\square$

Some weighted descendants are actually very familiar:

Lemma 6.4. *The descendant for the weight data (0^n) are the intersections of the Miller-Morita-Mumford kappa classes*

$$\langle \tau_{k_1} \cdots \tau_{k_n} \rangle_{g,(\epsilon^n)} = \langle \tau_{k_1} \cdots \tau_{k_n} \rangle_{g,(0^n)} = \langle \kappa_{k_1-1} \cdots \kappa_{k_n-1} \rangle$$

Proof. The first identity is by Theorem 5.6. The second identity is simply by the definition of the kappa classes (see e.g. [Wit91]) and by our definition of the psi classes on for the weight data (0^n) . $\square$

6.1. The Descendant Invariants of [GKP02]. In [GKP02], a modification of the psi classes is defined which we reinterpret in the weighted context.

Suppose $\beta > 0$ or $g > 0$. For each mark p_i , let

$$\hat{\pi}_i : \overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, \{p_i\}}(V, \beta)$$

be the morphisms which forget all marks but p_i . The modified psi class on $\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)$ is by definition

$$\overline{\psi}_i := \hat{\pi}_i^*(\psi_i).$$

Therefore, these modified psi classes are simply the pullbacks of the psi classes for the weight data (0^n) , as we defined them above, via the reduction morphism $\rho : \overline{M}_{g, (1^n)}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, (0^n)}(V, \beta)$. The modified gravitational descendants using psi classes $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$ and modified psi classes $\overline{\psi}_{m+1}, \dots, \overline{\psi}_n$ are thus our gravitational descendants for the weight data $(1^m, 0^{n-m})$.

This construction is extended to the case of $\beta = 0, g = 0$ in [Koc00]. Here the modified psi classes are constructed with the following twist: Start with $\overline{M}_{0, n+3}(V, 0)$ with three additional distinguished marks q_1, q_2, q_3 . For each of the other marks $p_i, i \leq n$, define

$$\hat{\pi}_i : \overline{M}_{0, n+3}(V, 0) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0, \{q_1, q_2, q_3, p_i\}} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$$

to be the map which forgets the sections not in $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, p_i\}$ as well as the map to V . For $i \leq n$, the definition is extended to this case to be

$$\overline{\psi}_i := \hat{\pi}_i^*(-2h),$$

i.e. the pull-back of the class of degree -2 on $\mathbb{P}^1$. A short calculation is needed to see the connection, and we make it in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Consider the four pointed space $\overline{M}_{0,A}$ with labeled points $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, p_i\}$ and the weight data $A = ((1 - \epsilon)^3, \epsilon)$ with $\epsilon < 1/n$. Then $\overline{M}_{0,A} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\psi_{p_i,A}$ has degree -2 .*

Proof. We clearly have that $\overline{M}_{0,A} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ for any admissible A . So we need only compute the degree of each psi class. The unweighted basic pullback relation recalled in §5.3 easily gives that each psi class on the unweighted space $\overline{M}_{0,4}$ has degree 1. We note that Δ_A contains precisely the faces for each vertex as well as the edges connecting the vertex p_i to each of the vertices q_j . Moreover, each divisor D_{q_j, p_i} is simply a point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and has degree 1. So application of Corollary 5.4 gives that

$$\psi_{p_i, (1^4)} = \rho^*(\psi_{p_i, A}) + D_{q_1, p_i} + D_{q_2, p_i} + D_{q_3, p_i},$$

which we may easily solve to see $\psi_{p_i, A}$ has degree -2 . $\square$

6.2. Generating functions.

Definition 6.6. For fixed n, V, β, γ_i , we define the generating polynomial for the descendants to be

$$e_{g,A}(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}^{V, \beta} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{k}},$$

and the exponential generating polynomial to be

$$E_{g,A}(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}!} \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{k}},$$

and use the customary multi-index conventions that $\mathbf{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $\mathbf{k}! := k_1! \cdots k_n!$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{k}} := t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_n^{k_n}$.

These are polynomials because $\sum k_i$ is a constant based on the choice of n, V, β, γ_i by the dimension constraint on these descendants, and hence there are only finitely many monomials in these sums.

Example 6.7.

- (1) In the case of genus zero and weight (1^n) , it is well known that

$$e_{0,n} = (t_1 + \cdots + t_n)^{n-3}$$

- (2) It follows immediately from Lemma 5.5 and the above example, that

$$e_{\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{n-2}}} = (t_1 + t_2)^{n-3}$$

- (3) It also follows immediately from Lemma 5.2 that,

$$E_{\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^{r-2}}} = \frac{(t_1 - t_2 - \cdots - t_{r+1})^{r-2}}{(r-2)!}.$$

7. WALL CROSSING FORMULA

In this section, we consider the simple wall crossing given by adding σ to Δ_{A^+} to form Δ_A . As before, denote the divisor corresponding to the crossing as D_σ , and the reduction morphism ρ_{A,A^+} by ρ .

As in Theorem 4.8, we use an alternate notation I for σ , and J for its complement. The image of the divisor D_σ is given by the complex $\Delta_{A_J} = \Delta_{A_\sigma}$ which is attained from that of Δ_{A^+} (or of Δ_A) by contracting the vertices in σ to a disconnected vertex which we label by σ . We also make the following notational definition which we will use often.

Definition 7.1. Let σ be a collection of vertices of Δ_A and assume we are given a class $\tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i)$ for each vertex. Then we define $\mathbf{k}_\sigma := \sum_{i \in \sigma} k_i - \dim \sigma$

and $\gamma_\sigma := \prod_{i \in \sigma} \gamma_i$.

Theorem 7.2 (Simple-wall Crossing). *We have the following wall crossing formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A^+}^{V,\beta} &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} + \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\dim \sigma + 1} \left\langle \tau_{\mathbf{k}_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma) \prod_{j \notin \sigma} \tau_{k_j}(\gamma_j) \right\rangle_{g,A_J}^{V,\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Before giving the proof of this result, we state some corollaries and prove some preliminary results.

Corollary 7.3. *The Gromov-Witten Invariants of $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ are equal to the unweighted invariants for any weight A .*

Proof. Indeed, in this case all $k_i = 0$. Thus, $k_\sigma = -\dim \sigma < 0$ and therefore $\tau_{k_\sigma} = 0$ by definition 6.2. So the difference in the wall-crossing formula is zero. $\square$

Corollary 7.4. *The generating polynomials are related by*

$$E_{g,A^+}(\mathbf{t}) - E_{g,A}(\mathbf{t}) = (-1)^{\dim \sigma + 1} \left(\underbrace{\int \cdots \int}_{\dim \sigma} E_{g,A_J}(\mathbf{t}) \underbrace{dt_\sigma \cdots dt_\sigma}_{\dim \sigma} \right)_{t_\sigma = \sum_{i \in \sigma} t_i}$$

Here, the integration is homogeneous w.r.t. dt_σ , and hence is uniquely defined, and after the integration we plug in $t_\sigma = \sum_{i \in \sigma} t_i$.

Proof. From formula 7.2, this is a simple exercise on multinomial coefficients. $\square$

Lemma 7.5. *The normal bundle of $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ in $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$ is given by $N = \mathcal{O}(-\psi_\sigma)^{\oplus \dim \sigma}$.*

Note that Theorem 4.8 implies that the normal bundle is isomorphic to $E^{\oplus \dim \sigma}$ for *some* line bundle E . This lemma tells us what E is.

Proof. Let $\overline{M}$ be the complete intersection of two sections s_1 and s_2 in the universal family $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{M}}$. Then $\overline{M}$ is also the complete intersection of s_1 and $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{M}}$. This implies that the normal bundle of $\overline{M}$ in s_2 is isomorphic to the normal bundle of $\overline{M}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{M}}$, which is $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}(-\psi_1)$ by the definition of psi classes. On the locus where all the points s_i coincide, we have $\mathcal{O}(-\psi_1) = \mathcal{O}(-\psi_\sigma)$.

Since $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ is a complete intersection of $r - 1$ Cartier divisors, its normal bundle is a direct sum of $(r - 1)$ of these line bundles. $\square$

The general reference for the intersection theory on schemes that we use is Fulton's [Ful84]. In particular, we recall from [Ful84, Sec.6.2] that for every regular embedding $i : X \rightarrow Y$ of codimension d , morphism $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$, and a fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{j} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

one has a refined Gysin homomorphism $i^! : A_k(Y') \rightarrow A_{k-d}(X)$ whose basic properties are listed in [Ful84, Thm.6.2]. We apply these properties to Artin stacks with finite stabilizers instead of schemes. The Chow groups and intersection theory were extended to the case of Artin stacks with affine stabilizer (this includes our case of finite stabilizer) in the thesis of A. Kresch [Kre99].

In the following lemma we use the notations of Definition 4.3. We recall that we have a regular embedding of codimension one $\mu : \overline{M}_{0,A_I^+} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)$ and that $\overline{M}_{0,A_I^+} = \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$.

Lemma 7.6 (Splitting Lemma). *One has*

$$\mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = [\overline{M}_{0,A_I^+}] \times [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}},$$

This property does not obviously follow from the axioms of the virtual fundamental cycle listed and checked in [BM06], so we cannot just refer to the latter paper. In particular, the divisor in [BM06, 6.3 Axiom 4c] is bigger than D_σ , since it contains all boundary components with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$, whereas D_σ is only the component corresponding to $\beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = \beta$.

Proof. Let $D_\sigma := \overline{M}_{0,A_I^+} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$. Form the fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_\sigma^1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & \overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta) \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ D_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta) \end{array}$$

with ρ the reduction and q the projection. Then $D_\sigma^1 = \overline{M}_{0,|I|+1} \times \overline{M}_{g,|J|+1}(V, \beta)$ is the divisor on $\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)$ corresponding to the graph with two vertices with weights $(g_1, \beta_1) = (0, 0)$ and $(g_2, \beta_2) = (g, \beta)$ and one edge. Since both μ and μ_1 are regular embeddings of the same codimension one, one has

$$\mu_1^! [\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = \mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$$

by the compatibility property of Gysin morphisms, cf. [Ful84, Thm.6.2(c)]. Therefore,

$$\mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = \mu^! \rho_* [\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = q_* \mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,n}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = q_* [D_\sigma^1]^{\text{virt}}.$$

If $[D_\sigma^1]^{\text{virt}} = [\overline{M}_{0,|I|+1}] \times [\overline{M}_{g,|J|+1}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$, then the latter equals $[\overline{M}_{0,A_I^+}] \times [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$, as required. Therefore, the formula follows from the weight-1 case.

In the weight-1 case, this formula is well-known and follows by applying [BF97, Prop.7.2], cf. [Beh97], Lemma 10 and proof of Axiom V (Isogenies). $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_* \sum_{p>0} (-1)^{p-1} (D_\sigma^p \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}) &= s(N) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} \\ &= (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{-\dim \sigma} \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s(N)$ is the Segre class of the normal bundle.

Proof. The latter equality is by the previous lemma:

$$s(N) = c(\mathcal{O}(-\psi_\sigma)^{\oplus \dim \sigma})^{-1} = (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{-\dim \sigma}$$

In the case when all $[\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = [\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]$ (for example if $V = \{pt\}$, or $g = 0$ and V is a convex variety), the former equality represents a well-known identity for the blowup of a complete intersection, see e.g. [Ful84, 3.3.4, 6.7]. The formula applies to the blowup of any complete intersection in a scheme locally embeddable into a regular scheme. Since both sides behave functorially with respect to smooth covers, it holds for Artin stacks as well.

Here is the proof in the general case. Recall that

$$D_\sigma = \overline{M}_{0,A_I^+} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta) = \mathbb{P}^{r-2} \times \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$$

is the exceptional divisor of a blowup. Let $\mu : D_\sigma \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)$ be the embedding and $\eta : D_\sigma \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ be the projection. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p>0} (-1)^{p-1} \rho_* (D_\sigma^p \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}) \\ &= \sum_{p>0} (-1)^{p-1} \eta_* (D_\sigma^{p-1} \cap \mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}) \\ &= \sum_{p>0} \eta_* (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(1))^{p-1} \cap \mu^! [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}) \\ & \quad \text{because } \mathcal{O}_{D_\sigma}(-D_\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(1) \\ &= \sum_{q \geq 0} \eta_* (c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(1))^q \cap [\mathbb{P}^{r-2}] \times [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}) \quad \text{by Lemma 7.6} \\ &= s(N) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} \quad \text{by the definition of the Segre classes.} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 7.2. We recall a few facts in preparing to apply the projection formula. Theorem 4.8 gives that the reduction morphism ρ is given by a simple blowup along $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$. It is evident that the evaluation morphisms commute with reductions, and so the projection formula allows us to push them forward unchanged. In addition, Theorem 5.3 dictates that for $i \in \sigma$, $\psi_{i,A^+} = \rho^*(\psi_{i,A}) + D_\sigma$, but the remaining classes are pullbacks. We also note that for $i \in \sigma$, whenever we restrict $\psi_{i,A}$ to $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$ we get ψ_σ . We are now ready to compute.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \prod_{i \in \sigma} \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \prod_{j \notin \sigma} \tau_{k_j}(\gamma_j) \right\rangle_{g,A^+}^{V, \beta} = \\ & \prod_{i \in \sigma} (\rho^* \psi_{i,A} + D_\sigma)^{k_i} \nu_{i,A^+}^*(\gamma_i) \prod_{j \notin \sigma} (\rho^* \psi_{j,A})^{k_j} \nu_{i,A^+}^*(\gamma_j) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us expand each $(\rho^*\psi_{i,A} + D_\sigma)^{k_i}$ and multiply them out. The only term that does not contain a positive power of D_σ is, by the projection formula and Definition 6.1, the descendant computed on $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. Let us deal with the rest.

Note that all the terms with the evaluation classes and with $\rho^*\psi_{j,A}$ are pullbacks from $\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)$. Let us call this part $\rho^*\tau_J(\gamma)$.

Now look at the remaining part, with D_σ and $\rho^*\psi_{i,A}$. We now observe that, up to the sign $(-1)^{\sum k_i + 1}$, it is the homogeneous degree $\sum k_i$ part of

$$\prod_{i \in \sigma} \rho^*(1 - \psi_{i,A})^{k_i} \times \sum_{p > 0} (-1)^p D_\sigma^{p-1} \quad \text{applied to } [\overline{M}_{g,A^+}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}.$$

By the projection formula $\rho_*(\rho^*\alpha \cap \beta) = \alpha \cap \rho_*\beta$ and the previous lemma, we are reduced to computing, up to the sign $(-1)^{\sum k_i + 1}$, the homogeneous degree $\sum k_i - \dim \sigma = k_\sigma$ part of

$$\prod_{i \in \sigma} (1 - \psi_{i,A})^{k_i} \times (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{-\dim \sigma} \quad \text{applied to } \tau_J(\gamma) \cap [\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}.$$

But each $\psi_{i,A}$ restrict to ψ_σ on $\overline{M}_{g,A_J}(V, \beta)$, so we need to compute the degree k_σ part of

$$\prod_{i \in \sigma} (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{k_i} \times (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{-\dim \sigma} = (1 - \psi_\sigma)^{k_\sigma},$$

which is $(-1)^{k_\sigma} \psi^{k_\sigma}$. This gives the formula. Note also that when $k_\sigma < 0$, we get zero; all monomials have nonnegative degree. $\square$

Definition 7.8. Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma\}$ be a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into a disjoint union of subsets. We say that Σ is Δ_A -**admissible** if each σ is in Δ_A . For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we define $\dim \Sigma := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \dim \sigma$ and denote the number of sets in the partition as $|\Sigma|$. We denote the set of Δ_A -admissible partitions by $\Sigma(A)$. In addition, we notate $\Sigma(A, B)$ to be the set of partitions which are Δ_B -admissible, but not Δ_A -admissible.

We are now ready to state a cousin of the string equation which reduces the calculation of weighted descendants to that of the standard unweighted descendants.

Theorem 7.9 (Reduction to Unweighted Descendants). *For any admissible weight data A ,*

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = \sum_{\Sigma \in \Sigma(A)} (-1)^{\dim \Sigma} \left\langle \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \tau_{k_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma) \right\rangle_{g,|\Sigma|}^{V,\beta}$$

Proof. Pick a simplex σ in Δ_A and apply formula (7.2) to get two complexes: one without σ , and one with σ collapsed: it has one vertex instead of σ , disjoint from the rest.

Now continue this inductively. The end result is the alternating sum over partitions of descendants on complexes which are disjoint unions of vertices, i.e. the unweighted descendants. $\square$

Corollary 7.10. *The products of Miller-Morita-Mumford classes are expressed in the following way through the products of psi classes:*

$$\langle \kappa_{k_1-1} \cdots \kappa_{k_n-1} \rangle_{g,n} = \sum_{\text{all partitions } \Sigma} (-1)^{\dim \Sigma} \left\langle \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \tau_{k_\sigma} \right\rangle_{g, |\Sigma|}$$

The inverse of this relation, ie expressing the psi numbers in terms of the kappa numbers, is due to C. Faber and can be found in [AC96, 1.13].

Corollary 7.11. *For any $A \geq B$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,B} &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A} + \\ &+ \sum_{\Sigma \in \Sigma(A,B)} (-1)^{\dim \Sigma} \left\langle \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \tau_{k_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma) \right\rangle_{g, |\Sigma|} \end{aligned}$$

8. A-DILATON, A-STRING, A-DIVISOR EQUATIONS

We are now in a position to use the results of §5.3 to derive analogues of the well known dilaton, string and divisor equations. For the remainder of this section, we define A to be of length $n+1$ and $A' := A \setminus \{a_{n+1}\}$. We derive each first in the case corresponding to the universal curve, and then in the case of a symmetric weight corresponding to the complex $\Delta_{n+1,r}$ as defined in Example 2.11.3.

The only property of the virtual fundamental cycle we need for these computations is the property $[\mathcal{C}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = \pi_A^* [\overline{M}_{g,A}(V, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$ for the universal family, which follows at once from the “Forgetting Tails” property [BM96, 7.5(4)] and Definition 6.1 (cf. [BM06, 4.1, 6.3]).

8.1. A-Dilaton Equation. Recall that the unweighted Dilaton Equation states that

$$\left\langle \tau_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n+1}^{V,\beta} = (2g - 2 + n) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n}^{V,\beta}$$

The customary proof of this equality is to apply a push-pull type argument with the forgetful map, using the pullback relation, and reduce this to calculating the degree of a fiber; we use it here as well.

We first give a version of the dilaton equation for the case whenever the forgetful map corresponds to the map of the universal curve. See Example 2.11.6.

Theorem 8.1 (Cone Dilaton Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 1$ and $\Delta_A = \text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$. Then,*

$$\left\langle \tau_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = (2g - 2) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A'}^{V,\beta}$$

Proof. Indeed, the pullback relation of Theorem 5.8 gives that each psi class $1 \leq i \leq n$ is given by the pullback. The result thus follows from the projection formula and the degree of fiber being $2g - 2$. $\square$

Theorem 8.2 (Symmetric A-Dilaton Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 1$ and A corresponds to $\Delta_{n+1,r}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \tau_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} &= (2g-2) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A'}^{V,\beta} + \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma \in F(A,A')} (-1)^{\dim \sigma + 1} \left\langle \tau_{k_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma) \prod_{j \notin \sigma} \tau_{k_j}(\gamma_j) \right\rangle_{g,A_\sigma}^{V,\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and Δ_{A_σ} is obtained from $\Delta_{n+1,r}$ by combining the vertices in σ (which includes $n+1$) to an isolated vertex which is labeled by σ .

Proof. We make a simple reduction to the case of the universal curve which is given above. The process of reducing the complex Δ_A to $\text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$ is given by a reduction in bijection with $F(A, A')$, by definition. The only detail left to check is that the complexes Δ_{A_σ} are independent of the order in which these reductions are completed. We note by the definition of these complexes as given in Example 2.11.7 that this is equivalent to noting that for $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in F(A, A')$, we have that $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \neq \sigma_i$, ie there is no containment among the σ 's. This is clear from the description of $\Delta_{n+1,r}$.

Thus the result follows from applying the Wall-crossing formula inductively to

$$\left\langle \tau_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta}$$

and noting the first term in the statement follows from the case of the universal curve which is given above. $\square$

Remark 8.3. We note that the only ‘‘symmetry’’ which is used in the above proof is that there is no containment among the elements of $F(A, A')$, and so the statement and proof are valid with these weaker hypotheses as well. In the case where there are some containments among the elements in $F(A, A')$, one must adjust this sum to account for the extra faces (which will all contain the vertex labeled σ) which appear in A_σ .

8.2. A-String Equation. The unweighted string equation states

$$\left\langle \tau_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n+1}^{V,\beta} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left\langle \tau_{k_\ell-1}(\gamma_\ell) \prod_{i \neq \ell} \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n}^{V,\beta}$$

We again give statements in the weighted case.

Theorem 8.4 (Cone String Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 0$ and $\Delta_A = \text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$. Then,*

$$\left\langle \tau_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = 0$$

Proof. Indeed, this product pulls back from a space of a lower dimension and is thus zero. $\square$

Theorem 8.5 (Symmetric A -String Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 0$ and A corresponds to $\Delta_{n+1,r}$. Then,*

$$\left\langle \tau_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = \sum_{\sigma \in F(A,A')} (-1)^{\dim \sigma + 1} \left\langle \tau_{k_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma) \prod_{i \notin \sigma} \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A_\sigma}^{V,\beta}$$

and Δ_{A_σ} is obtained from $\Delta_{n+1,r}$ by combining the vertices in σ (which includes $n+1$) to an isolated vertex which is labeled by σ .

Proof. The proof is virtually identical to that of Theorem 8.2 after noting the difference which appears in the case of the universal curve. $\square$

8.3. A -Divisor Equation. In the same spirit as the string and dilaton equations, there is the usual unweighted divisor equation which states that for $D \in A^1(V, \mathbb{Q})$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \tau_0(D) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n+1}^{V,\beta} &= \int_{\beta} D \cdot \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n}^{V,\beta} + \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left\langle \tau_{k_\ell-1}(\gamma_\ell \cup D) \prod_{i \neq \ell} \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,n}^{V,\beta} \end{aligned}$$

We state this now in the same cases as we stated the string and dilaton equations. The proofs of each are very much in the same spirit as previous proofs and are left to the reader.

Theorem 8.6 (Cone A -Divisor Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 0$ and $\Delta_A = \text{Cone}(\Delta_{A'})$. For $D \in A^1(V, \mathbb{Q})$, we have*

$$\left\langle \tau_0(D) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = \int_{\beta} D \cdot \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A'}^{V,\beta}$$

Theorem 8.7 (Symmetric A -Divisor Equation). *Assume $k_{n+1} = 0$ and A corresponds to $\Delta_{n+1,r}$. For $D \in A^1(V, \mathbb{Q})$, we have*

$$\left\langle \tau_0(D) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A}^{V,\beta} = \int_{\beta} D \cdot \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,A'}^{V,\beta} +$$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma \in F(A, A')} (-1)^{\dim \sigma + 1} \left\langle \tau_{k_\sigma}(\gamma_\sigma \cup D) \prod_{i \notin \sigma} \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g, A_\sigma}^{V, \beta}$$

and Δ_{A_σ} is obtained from $\Delta_{n+1, r}$ by combining the vertices in σ (which includes j) to an isolated vertex which is labeled by σ .

REFERENCES

- [AOV07] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson and A. Vistoli, *Tame stacks in positive characteristic*, arXiv:math/0703310.
- [Ale96] V. Alexeev, *Moduli spaces $M_{g,n}(W)$ for surfaces*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 1–22.
- [Ale02] ———, *Complete moduli in the presence of semiabelian group action*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), no. 3, 611–708.
- [Ale06] ———, *Higher-dimensional analogs of stable curves*, Proceedings of Madrid ICM2006, European Math. Society Publ. House, 2006.
- [AC96] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *Combinatorial and algebro-geometric cohomology classes on the moduli spaces of curves*, J. Alg. Geom. **5**, 705–749 (1996).
- [AW71] M. Artin and G. Winters, *Degenerate fibres and stable reduction of curves*, Topology **10** (1971), 373–383.
- [Beh97] K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math., **127**, 601–617, 1997.
- [BF97] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 45–88.
- [BM96] K. Behrend and Yu. I. Manin, *Stacks of stable maps and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Duke Math. J. **85** (1996), no. 1, 1–60.
- [BM06] A. Bayer and Yu. I. Manin, *Stability Conditions, Wall-crossing and weighted Gromov-Witten Invariants*, arXiv: math.AG/0607580.
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [DM69] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 75–109.
- [Ful84] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, (1984).
- [Hac04] P. Hacking, *Compact moduli of plane curves*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 2, 213–257.
- [Has03] B. Hassett, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), no. 2, 316–352.
- [KM97] S. Keel and S. Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 1, 193–213.
- [Koc00] J. Kock, *Tangency quantum cohomology*, Compositio Math. **140** (2004), 165–178.
- [Kol90] J. Kollár, *Projectivity of complete moduli*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 235–268.
- [Kre99] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 495–536.
- [Lic68] S. Lichtenbaum, *Curves over discrete valuation rings*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 380–405.
- [LM00] A. Losev and Yu. I. Manin, *New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 443–472.
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

- [GKP02] T. Graber, J. Kock and R. Pandharipande, *Descendant invariants and characteristic numbers*, Amer. J. Math. **124** (2002), no. 3, 611–647.
- [Sha66] I. R. Shafarevich, *Lectures on minimal models and birational transformations of two dimensional schemes*, Notes by C. P. Ramanujam. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, No. 37, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1966.
- [Wit91] E. Witten, *Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Diff. Geom. **1** (1991), 243–310.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS GA 30602, USA
E-mail address: `valery@math.uga.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS GA 30602, USA
E-mail address: `guy@math.uga.edu`